1 2 3 4 5 6	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LIBRANT H. DVEIRIN, SB# 130621 E-Mail: Brant.Dveirin@lewisbrisbois.com KELLEY E. HARMAN, SB# 266133 E-Mail: Kelley.Harman@lewisbrisbois.com 633 West 5 th Street, Suite 4000 Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: 213.250.1800 Facsimile: 213.250.7900 Attorneys for Defendant, PALOS VERDES HOMES ASSOCIATION	JP	
7	STIDEDTOD COLIDA OF CALIFOR	NIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES CENTRAL DISTRICT – STANLEY MOSK COURTHOUSE		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT – STA	MEET MOSK COOKTHOOSE	
10		L GAGRAIO POLCOCAO	
11	RESIDENTS FOR OPEN BOARD ELECTIONS, an unincorporated association;	CASE NO. BS169638	
12	L. RIED SCHOTT, an individual,	Assigned for All Purposes to: JUDGE: Hon. Ruth A. Kwan	
13	Petitioner(s),	DEPT.: 72	
14	vs.	RESPONDENT'S VERIFIED ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' PETITION	
15	PALOS VERDES HOMES ASSOCIATION,	TOTEMINITES TEXT	
16	a California non-profit mutual benefit corporation,,	Action Filed: May 17, 2017	
17	Respondent(s).	Trial Date: None Set	
18			
19	COMES NOW Respondent PALOS VERDES HOMES ASSOCIATION ("Respondent"),		
20	and in response to the Verified Petition to Lower Quorum of Homeowners Association Pursuant to		
21	Corporations Code section 7515, hereby states the following:		
22	1. Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraph 1.		
23	2. Respondent admits the allegations in Paragraph 2.		
24	3. Respondent lacks sufficient inform	mation to admit or deny the allegations in	
25	Paragraph 3, and denies the allegations on that basis.		
26	4. Respondent admits the allegations in Paragraph 4.		
27	5. Respondent admits that the By Laws of the Association speak for themselves.		
28	Except as admitted, Respondent lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in		
- 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

3 4

5 6

7 8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

25

26

27

28

4834-2203-2971.4

Paragraph 5, and denies the allegation on that basis.

- Respondent lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 6, and denies the allegations on that basis.
- Respondent admits that the By Laws of the Association speak for themselves. 7. Except as admitted, Respondent lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 7, and denies the allegation on that basis.
- Respondent denies the first or title sentence in Paragraph 8. Respondent admits 8. that the following documents speak for themselves, the By Laws, newspaper articles quoting Sydney Croft, Resolution 177, the Palos Verdes Homes Association Website. Except as admitted, Respondent lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 8, and denies the allegation on that basis.
 - Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraph 9. 9.
- Respondent denies the first three sentences in Paragraph 10. Respondent admits 10. that Association president Mark Paulin resigned in 2015. Respondent admits that it hired a third party in 2017 related to the election. Respondent admits what is on Next Door media speaks for itself. Respondent admits that the Association's mailing list, the By Laws, the ruling in Citizens for Enforcement of Parkland Covenants v. Palos Verdes Homes Association, LASC Case No. BS 142768 speaks for itself. Except as admitted, Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraph 10.
- Respondent lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in 11. Paragraph 11, and denies the allegations on that basis.
- Respondent lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in 12. Paragraph 12, and denies the allegations on that basis.
- Respondent admits that the By Laws of the Association speak for themselves. 13. Except as admitted, Respondent lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 13, and denies the allegation on that basis.
- Respondent admits that the By Laws of the Association speak for themselves. 14. Except as admitted, Respondent lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 14, and denies the allegation on that basis.

15

12

13

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

26.

27.

28

- Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraph 15. 15.
- Respondent admits that Corporations Code Section 7515 speaks for itself. Except 16. as admitted, Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraph 16.
- Respondent admits that Corporations Code Section 7515 speaks for itself. Except 17. as admitted, Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraph 17.
- Respondent admits that the April 13, 2017 request attached as Exhibit 1, and the 18. Homes Association's April 28, 2017 response attached as Exhibit 2 speaks for itself. Except as admitted, Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraph 18.
- Respondent admits that the ballot attached as Exhibit 3 speaks for itself. Except as 19. admitted, Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraph 19.
- Respondent admits that the Daily Breeze articles attached as Exhibits 4 and 5 speak 20. for themselves. Except as admitted, Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraph 20.
- Respondent admits that Resolutions 177 and 179 attached as Exhibits 6 and 7 speak 21. for themselves. Except as admitted, Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraph 21.
- Respondent admits that the Candidate Petition Instructions attached as Exhibit 8 22. speaks for itself. Except as admitted, Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraph 22.
- Respondent admits that the exemplar of the Boards' ballot mailing attached as 23. Exhibit 9 speak for itself. Except as admitted, Respondent lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph 23, and denies the allegation on that basis.
- Respondent lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in 24. Paragraph 24, and denies the allegations on that basis.
- Respondent lacks sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in 25. Paragraph 25, and denies the allegations on that basis.
- Respondent admits that By Laws attached as Exhibit 12 speak for themselves. Except as admitted, Respondent denies the allegations.
- Respondent admits that it is a non-profit mutual benefit corporation. Respondent admits that Corporation Code Sections 5059, 7110 et. seq, and the Davis Stirling Act, speak for themselves. Except as admitted, Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraph 27.

- 28. Respondent admits that Corporations Code Section 7515 speaks for itself. Except as admitted, Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraph 28.
- 29. Respondent admits that Corporations Code Section 7520 speaks for itself. Except as admitted, Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraph 29.
- 30. Respondent admits that Corporations Code Section 7520 speaks for itself. Except as admitted, Respondent denies the allegations in Paragraph 30.

PRAYER

The remainder of the Petition contains a prayer for relief, to which no response is required. To the extent required, Respondent denies each and every allegation contained therein and specifically denies that Petitioner is entitled to any relief whatsoever. Except as expressly admitted above, Respondent denies each and every allegation in the Petition.

FIRSTAFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State a Cause of Action)

1. The Petition fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a viable cause of action upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Sue in Name of Proper Party)

2. Action is barred since it is not filed in the name of the real party in interest as required under Code of Civil Procedure Section 367 and *Greenback Townhomes Homeowners*Ass'n v Rizan (1985) 166 CA3d 843).

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Avoidable Consequences)

2. Petitioner failed to take precautions which would have avoided and/or diminished the damages, if any, alleged to have been suffered as a result of the conduct of Defendants.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Laches)

3. Petitioner delayed an unreasonable period of time in commencing this action, and

1	thereby unduly prejudiced Respondents.	
2	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
3	k 25	(Estoppel)
4	4.	By virtue of his own conduct, Petitioner is estopped from recovering from
5	Respondent for the claims and causes of action in the Petition.	
6	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
7	(Unjust Enrichment)	
8	5.	The Petition and all causes of action stated therein, are barred in whole or in part
9	because Petitioner would otherwise be inequitably and unjustly enriched.	
10	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
11	(Failure to Mitigate)	
12	6.	Petitioner failed to take proper care, and failed to reduce and mitigate any damage
13	alleged to have been suffered as a result of Respondent's conduct.	
14	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
15	(Failure to Take Adequate Precautions)	
16	7.	Petitioner failed to take precautions which would have avoided any/or diminished
17	its alleged injuries and damages.	
18	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
19	(Waiver)	
20	8. Petitioner's claims are barred, or any recovery should be reduced, by the doctring	
21	of waiver.	
22	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
23	(Unclean Hands)	
24	9.	Plaintiff's claims are barred, or any recovery should be reduced, by the doctrine of
25	unclean hands.	
26	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
27	(Statutes of Limitations)	
28	10.	Petitioner's claims are barred by applicable provisions of the California Code of

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

RESPONDENT'S VERIFIED ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' PETITION

CALIFORNIA STATE COURT PROOF OF SERVICE 1 Residents for Open Board Elections, et al. v. Palos Verdes Homes Association, et al. Case No. BS169638 - File No. 38009-02 2 3 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 4 At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to the action. My business address is 663 West 5th Street, Suite 4000, Los Angeles, Ĉalifornia 90071. On the below date, I served the following document(s) described as: RESPONDENT'S 6 VERIFIED ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' PETITION on the following persons at the following addresses (including fax numbers and e-mail addresses, if applicable): Jeffrey Lewis, Esq. Kelly Broedlow Dunagan, Esq. BROEDLOW LEWIS LLP 734 Silver Spur Road, Suite 300 Rolling Hills Estates, CA 90274 Telephone: (310) 935-4001 (310) 872-5389 11 Facsimile: Email: jeff@broedlowlewis.com Attorneys for Petitioners, RESIDENTS FOR 12 OPEN BOARD ELECTIONS and L. RIED SCHOTT 13 (BY U.S. MAIL) I enclosed the above-stated document(s) in a sealed envelope or package 14 X addressed to the person(s) at the address(es) listed by placing the envelope or package for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the firm's 15 practice for collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, on the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of 16 business with the U.S. Postal Service, in a sealed envelope of package with the postage fully prepaid thereon. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal 17 cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. 18 (VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL) Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept 19 service by e-mail or electronic transmission, I caused the above-stated document(s) to be sent to the person(s) at the e-mail address(es) listed. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the 20 transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful. 21 (BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY) I enclosed the documents in an envelope or package provided by an overnight delivery carrier and addressed to the persons at the addresses listed above. 22 I placed the envelope or package for collection and delivery at an office or a regularly utilized drop box of the overnight delivery carrier. 23 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the 24 foregoing is true and correct. 25 Executed on July 18, 2017, at Los Angeles, California. 26 27 28 4834-2203-2971.4

RESPONDENT'S VERIFIED ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' PETITION